

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,711 | 07/15/2003 | Hideki Kobayashi | Q76339 | 4933 |
| 23373 7590 02/05/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | EXAMINER | |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | GOMA, TAWFIK A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | 1, 50 20037 | | 2627 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 02/05/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/618,711 | KOBAYASHI, HIDEKI | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Tawfik Goma | 2627 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 November 2006</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | · | 70 0.0. 210. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,7 and 8 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are rejected. | ndrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . • | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/618,711

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/20/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu et al (US 5177729) in view of Lee (US2003/0048725)

Regarding claim 1, Muramatsu discloses an information recording/reproducing apparatus for reproducing comprising: a demodulator for performing a demodulation processing on a read signal read from said recording medium corresponding to each of the modulation schemes to generate a data signal for each demodulation processing (7, fig. 1 and 8 fig. 1); an error corrector for performing error correction processing on each of the data signals to generate a corrected data signal corresponding to each of the data signals (11, 12, fig. 1); and an output part for selectively outputting the corrected data signal corresponding to the data signal having the lowest error ratio among the data signals as a reproduced data (13, fig. 1). Muramatsu fails to disclose wherein the data signal is an address data, and that the address data is modulated using different modulation schemes. In the same field of endeavor, Lee discloses demodulating address data (par. 45) with different demodulators (101-103, fig. 10), wherein the demodulators correspond to different modulation schemes of the address data (par. 55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the

Application/Control Number: 10/618,711

Art Unit: 2627

Page 3

recording apparatus disclosed by Muramatsu with the address demodulators that correspond to different modulation schemes as taught by Lee. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to reproduce address data in order to properly reproduce the contents of the disc. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reproduce data with demodulator's that correspond to modulation schemes of the recorded data in order to increase the density of the address information, thereby providing a wider user data area (see Lee abstract)

Regarding claim 2, Muramatsu further discloses an information recording/reproducing apparatus according further comprising: an error detector for performing error detection processing on each of the data signals to generate an error detection result signal including an error ratio of each of said data signals (col. 3 lines 61-66), and information indicating whether or not each of the data signals can be corrected by said error corrector (EP pointer information, col. 3 lines 65-67 thru col. 4 lines 1-7), wherein said an output part includes: a determining part for determining based on the error detection result signal an data signal which is correctable and has the lowest error ratio from said address data signals (col. 4 lines 12-16 and 13, fig. 1); and a selector for selecting a corrected data signal corresponding to the address data signal determined by said determining part from said corrected data signals to output the corrected address data signal selected thereby as the reproduced data (13, fig. 1, fig. 4 and col. 3 lines 66-68 thru col. 8 lines 1-7 and col. 4 lines 12-15). It would have been obvious for the data to be address data as taught by Lee for the same reasons as in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2627

Method claims 5 and 6 are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 1 and 2. Therefore method claims 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claims 1 and 2 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness applied above.

Page 4

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 5-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tawfik Goma whose telephone number is (571) 272-4206. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,711

Art Unit: 2627

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1/25/2007

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600